

SEQUENTIAL MULTIPLE HYPOTHESIS TESTING WITH TYPE I ERROR CONTROL

ALAN MALEK, SUMEET KATARIYA, YINLAM CHOW, AND MOHAMMAD GHAVAMZADEH

HYPOTHESIS TESTING, A/B TESTING

- Statistically rigorous method to decide between
 - Null Hypothesis H_0 (e.g. $\mu_A = \mu_B$)
 - Alternative Hypothesis H_1 (e.g. $\mu_A \neq \mu_B$)
- Hypothesis test: data $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \{\text{reject, fail to reject}\}$
- We require
 - Type I error (rejecting H_0 when it is true) $< \alpha$
 - Type II error (failing to reject H_0 when it is false) $< \beta$

MULTIPLE HYPOTHESIS TESTING

- Have m tests, $(H_{0,1}$ vs. $H_{1,1}), \dots, (H_{0,m}$ vs. $H_{1,m})$
- MHT procedure \mathcal{P} : $(p_1, \dots, p_m) \mapsto \{\text{reject, fail to reject}\}^m$
- Results summarized by

	not-rejected	rejected	total
H_0 true	U	V	m_0
H_0 false	W	S	$m - m_0$
total	$m - R$	R	m

- Want U and S to be large, V and W to be small
- No single notion of type I or II errors
- Common goals

$$\text{FWER} := P(V \geq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FDR} := \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{V}{R \vee 1} \right]$$

- Type II error versions

$$\text{FWER II} := P(W \geq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FNR} := \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{W}{(m-R) \vee 1} \right]$$

ERROR NOTIONS

Definition 1 \mathcal{P} has an (f, q) error guarantee if

$$\mathbb{E} [f(V, S)] \leq q \quad (1)$$

$\forall m, m_0$, distributions on S , and if true null p -values are marginally uniform.

If Eq. 1 holds only when $p^{\pi(1)}, \dots, p^{\pi(m_0)}$ are i.i.d. uniform, we will say that \mathcal{P} has an (f, q) error guarantee under independence (a weaker condition).

Common examples:

- FWER: $f(V, S) = \mathbf{1}\{V > 0\}$
- FDR: $f(V, S) = \frac{V}{(V+S) \vee 1}$

COMMON MHT PROCEDURES

Definition 2 (monotonic procedures) $p^{(1)} \leq \dots \leq p^{(m)}$ are in ascending order, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ sequence of decision thresholds

- step-up** procedure rejects tests $(1), \dots, (\max\{k : p^{(k)} \leq \alpha_k\})$
- step-down** procedure rejects tests $(1), \dots, (\min\{k : p^{(k)} > \alpha_k\} - 1)$

Most common examples:

Name	α	type	guarantee
Bonferroni	$\alpha_k = \frac{q}{m}$	both	FWER
Holm	$\alpha_k = \frac{q}{m-k}$	step-down	FWER
Hochberg	$\alpha_k = \frac{q}{m-k}$	step-up	FDR
Benjamini-Hochberg	$\alpha_k = \frac{k-q}{m}$	step-up	FDR

SEQUENTIAL p -VALUES

Definition 3 A sequential p -value is a sequence of mappings $p_t : \mathcal{X}^t \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t., **under the null hypothesis**,

- (super-uniform) for any $\delta \in [0, 1]$ and any $t \geq 1$

$$P \left(\sup_{s \leq t} p_s(X_1, \dots, X_s) \leq \delta \right) \leq \delta \quad (2)$$

- (non-increasing) for any $\{x_t\}_{t \geq 1}$, for all t ,

$$p_t(x_1, \dots, x_t) \geq p_{t+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{t+1}). \quad (3)$$

EXAMPLES

- SPRT: $\frac{1}{\sup_{n' \leq t} L_{n'}}$ where $L_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f_1(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n f_0(X_i)}$ is the likelihood ratio
- Test martingales: $\frac{1}{\sup_{n' \leq t} \Lambda_{n'}}$ where Λ_t is a positive supermartingale with $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_0] = 1$.
In general, for any such Λ_t , $P(\sup_t \Lambda_t > b) \leq \frac{1}{b}$.

SEQUENTIAL CONVERSION

Input: stopping time T , procedure \mathcal{P} , error q

Initialize p_0^1, \dots, p_0^m to 1 and $S_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$

For $t = 1, 2, \dots$,

- Set $S_t = \mathcal{P}(p_{t-1}^1, \dots, p_{t-1}^m)$
- For each k , if $S_t(k) = 0$, draw a sample and update p_t^k
- Otherwise, set $p_t^k = p_{t-1}^k$
- If T is reached or $S_t = \{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$, Break

Return decisions $S_{T \vee t}$

SEQUENTIAL ERROR GUARANTEE

Theorem 1 Let \mathcal{P} be a monotonic test procedure with a (f, q) guarantee. Then its sequential conversion \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{P} also has an (f, q) guarantee. That is,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(V_T, S_T)] \leq q.$$

Furthermore, if \mathcal{P} only has an independent (f, q) guarantee, then \mathcal{C} only has an independent (f, q) -guarantee.

SEQUENTIAL CALCULATOR

- Want a minimum sample size to guarantee power (β) and false discovery rate (α)
- Using the Bonferroni correction,

$$\tilde{N}^* = \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \inf \left\{ t : \mathbb{P}(T^k \leq t | H_1^k) \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{m} \right\}$$

where T^k is the stopping time of test k (with FWER guarantee α) that stops under the following condition:

$$\Lambda_t^k \geq m/\alpha, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

EXPERIMENTS

- 1000 Hypothesis tests $\mu = 0$ vs $\mu \neq 0$
- Truth: 200 $\mu = 0$, 800 μ evenly spread $[-10, 10]$
- Sequential conversion against Benjamini-Hochberg
- Averaged over 1000 independent runs

